

Major General William J. McCaddin, President, NGAUS

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

DECENTRALIZED MANAGEMENT UNDER STATE CONTROL—IT WORKS!

wo characteristics set the Nahelping cope with State and local management under State control.

Those characteristics are the "cornerstones" of the Guard system, declared General Weber, "which still General Accounting Office revived a is the best system in the world for the scheme which aroused such a storm peacetime management of a reserve of controversy 15 years ago that the force."

tinued, "the other reserve compon- Air Force Reserve. ents probably benefit from the Guard's continuing demonstration several times in recent years to estabthat immediate control through a di- lish strong centralized Pentagon conrect chain of command paralleling the trol over all Guard officer career ac-Active force is not necessarily the antions—appointments, promotions, reswer; and we benefit by the same tention decisions, and mandatory comparison every time we demon- separation-even though the Constistrate that we can do it better despite-or because of-a management that such authority remain with the system that boggles the mind of the States. theoreticians.'

General Weber's comments need to be read in every Washington office where State/Federal issues are debated and decided. In far too many, the automatic response whenever a ture, equipment, armory construction, choice must be made between State and manning. and Federal management of a program is to keep it out of State hands.

For Guardsmen, State control is an article of faith based on the historical record and on the Guard's own repeated demonstration that our system "best suits the American genious," as a non-Guardsman explained it to a Congressional committee many years ago. In the earliest days of our national existence, the founding fathers drew on their own experiences to put limits on the power of the central government. One step was to provide for a State-controlled militia that would be the main bulwark in our defense structure.

The decentralized Guard system tional Guard apart from the other has been proven times over, yet no reserve components, Lieutenant Gen- issue has provoked a greater rancor eral LaVern E. Weber, Chief of the Na- and more frequent controversy betional Guard Bureau, recently remind- tween the Guard and the Federal hiered a Guard audience. Those features, archy down through the years than which give the Guard its distinctive that selfsame topic, State control. dual character, are its State mission, And ongoing developments suggest clearly that the issue still hasn't been emergencies, and its decentralized laid to rest! It is merely pursued with greater civility, and by more subtle means these days.

Item: Last year the government's reverberations are still heard-mer-"Curiously enough," the Chief conger of the Guard, Army Reserve and

> Item: Attempts have been made tution and Federal laws clearly intend

> Item: There is a strong tendency in the Pentagon to give short shrift to requirements that relate to the Guard's State mission when decisions are made on such matters as force struc-

> Item: Decisions on how resources may be used have been taken almost entirely out of State hands through the workings of a priority system known as "force packaging methodol-

THIS ISSUE

This issue of NATIONAL GUARD is devoted almost entirely to coverage of the 101st NGAUS General Conference in Cleveland. Please note that the Annual Reports of the President and the Executive Vice President appeared in the November, 1979 issue. Please see page 30 for an important correction to an editorial error in the President's Report as previously published.

ogy." That process, which assigns priority for resources to units that would be deployed earliest in some future conflict, is being applied so rigorously that many Guard units are being shut off from recruiting/retention incentives, modern equipment, armory funding and other essential assets.

A greater degree of Federal involvement in Guard management probably became inevitable when Total Force funneled more Federal resources into the Guard. Federal control tends to follow Federal resources. But many of the controls now being imposed, or debated, seem to cross that fuzzy, indistinct line between legitimate Federal oversight and mere interference. We are convinced that this runs counter to a growing national sentiment opposing the continued growth of big government.

The Guard system with decentralized management under State auspices, must be maintained, as General Weber so clearly told his fellow Guardsmen recently, because it gives the Guard leaders at a local level the ability to decide most questions on "how best to maximize the unique asset represented by the citizen-soldier or airman."

The Guard inevitably must come under closer scrutiny as it consumes a greater share of defense resources and shoulders a greater share of the burden of national defense. We understand that necessity. We accept the need for Federal oversight.

But we deplore and condemn the philosophy held by many in the Federal power structure, that Federal power is inherently good, while State power is bad—that Federal control is productive and efficient while State control invariably is fumbling and unable to produce intelligent decisions.

We will defend our system, as General Weber points out, "because it works best, and because it is important to national defense" that it not be weakened or destroyed.



NATIONAL GUARD ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES

PRESIDENT

Maj Gen William J. McCaddin, Virginia

Immediate Past President

Maj Gen Richard A. Miller, Oregon

Vice President

Maj Gen Edward R. Fry, Kansas

Secretary

Brig Gen Leo C. Goodrich, Minnesota

Treasurer

Maj Gen Francis J. Higgins, New York

Executive Vice President

Maj Gen Francis S. Greenlief (ret)

Executive Council

Area I (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, RI, VT) Maj Gen Paul R. Day (ME) Maj Gen Nicholas J. Del Torto (MA) Col Donald E. Joy Jr. (CT)

Area II (DE, DC, KY, MD, NC, OH, PA, VA, WV) Maj Gen William E. Ingram (NC) Maj Gen Fletcher C. Booker (PA) Brig Gen William W. Spruance (DE)

Area III (AL, FL, GA, PR, SC, TN, VI) Maj Gen Billy M. Jones (GA) Col James F. Gamble (AL) Col William M. Whittaker (FL)

Area IV (AR, KS, LA, MS, MO, OK, TX) Maj Gen O'Neil J. Daigle Jr. (LA) Lt Col J. Tipton Lewis (MS) Col Joe A. Caple (AR)

Area V (IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, ND, NE, SD, WI) Maj Gen John R. Phipps (IL) Brig Gen William E. Doris (IL) Col Alexander P. MacDonald (ND)

Area VI (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WA, WY) Mai Gen C.F. Necrason (AK)

Brig Gen Willard K. Carey (OR) Col Dale J. Hendry (ID)

Chairman, Committee on Retired Officers: Maj Gen Robert G. Moorhead (IN

Representative, Company Grade Officers: Capt Robert B. James, Jr. (OH)

NATIONAL GUARD, December 1979 (Special Issue). The National Guard Magazine (ISSN 0163-3945) is published monthly, by the National Guard Association of the United States, with editorial and advertising offices at One Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Telephone (202) 789-0031. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices, Copyright 1979 by the National Guard Association of the U.S. All rights reserved. All members of the NGAUS receive NATIONAL GUARD, Nonmember subscriptions: \$3 per year domestic; \$4 per year foreign. Bulk rate for 100 or more copies to the same address: 25¢ each. Single copies 50¢. The Editor welcomes original articles bearing on national defense, with emphasis on application to or implications for the National Guard. Manuscripts and artwork must be accompanied by return postage; no responsibility is assumed for safe handling. Opinions expressed by authors do not necessarily represent official NGAUS positions or policy. Likewise, publication of advertising cannot be deemed an endorsement thereof by this Association or its members.

NATIONAL

Official publication of the National Guard Association of the United States (Formerly The National Guardsman Magazine)

SPECIAL ISSUE 1979 VOLUME XXXIII. NUMBER 11

CONTENTS and INDEX

Air Guard Session38	Gen John R. Guthrie 34
MG Alfred F. Ahner 13 Gen Lew Allen 18	MG Francis J. Higgins34
Association: Conference, general	Infantry School/State OCS Awards
LTG Arnold W. Braswell 39 Company Grade Caucus 42 Mr. Harold W. Chase 12 Commo Electronics Trophy 41 Civil Engineering Trophy 41 MG James C. Clem 8 Constitution & Bylaws 44 Distinguished Flying Units 41 Distinguished CEM Units	Milton A. Reckord Trophy . 36 MG James C. Smith 36 Spaatz Trophy 41 Spruance Awards 23, 40 Time and Place
Executive Council 44 Finance Committee 46	Departments

MG Edward R. Fry 39

MG Richard L. Frymire . . . 44

COVER: The 101st Conference theme "The State/Federal Connection" as depicted by Tom Powers of Bill Duffy Associates.

Newsbreaks2

PUBLICATION STAFF

MG Francis R. Gerard40 Posting the Guard50

COLONEL BRUCE JACOBS EDITOR AND PUBLISHER

JOHN E. BIBB ADVERTISING

DON DESIARDINS CIRCULATION