

# REVERSE ROUNDOUT AND OTHER FORCE STRUCTURE IDEAS

Reno, GEN Carl E. Vuono, chief of staff of the Army, said that roundout has a great future. We would be less than candid if we didn't note that there were a lot of skeptics in the audience, particularly in view of the Department of Defense's reluctance to call three roundout brigades and three roundout battalions of divisions already deployed to Saudi Arabia.

As LTG Herbert R. Temple Jr. (ret.), former chief of the National Guard Bureau, notes elsewhere in this issue, we in the Guard have wondered whether Guard units would be activated and deployed at a time of national emergency based on the Total Force Policy. Roundout was and is a centerpiece of that policy. If we can't expect roundout units to be called and deployed with their parent divisions, then one has to wonder what the future of the Total Force Policy is?

Among other things, the implementation of the Total Force Policy calls for units to be assigned CAPSTONE force structure traces, to be written into warplans, to be included in time phased force deployment listings (TPFDLs) and, in particular, to be called, deployed and employed in accordance with these plans. Our roundout brigades were not called and deployed with their parent units in Operation DESERT SHIELD.

Indeed, almost nothing associated with the callup for Operation DESERT SHIELD has been accomplished in accordance with previous plans. The 1000-series of warplans, developed over the years by US Central Command (CENTCOM), were rewritten for Operation DESERT SHIELD. The DA Regulation 55-1 has been set aside and much of the Army Guard and Army Reserve activation has been by electronic message from FORSCOM.

One question that will remain on our plate for the post DESERT SHIELD era

When he spoke at the NGAUS will be how to fix this situation for future section 673(b) calls? There probably are as many ideas on changes in force structure and command-andcontrol mechanisms as there are visionary military thinkers. We see some of them from time to time out of the various think tanks in Washington and elsewhere. One such source, for example, is the Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) in

> "Reverse roundout would cement the Total Force Policy. The active Army roundout element could not function without the National Guard element."

Washington, which helped the NGAUS put on our symposium on the future of the Guard and Reserve last

An example of one such idea might be something called "reverse roundout." Reverse roundout is not an original idea with us. It first was articulated more than five years ago by then Army chief of staff, GEN John A. Wickham Jr. This concept is just about the exact opposite of how we understand roundout brigades today.

Under this concept, an infantry or armored division probably would be primarily a National Guard division. It would have the division headquarters located in some state, perhaps one of the currently inactive divisions that carries famous or distinguished lineage from previous wars, like the 32d of Wisconsin, the 41st of Oregon or the 31st (Dixie) Division from the Deep South. It would have one active Army brigade. The rest of the division would be in the Guard of that state or a couple adjacent states. The active duty brigade would be stationed at an Army post within the state(s). One as-

sistant division commander would be a regular.

Such a force structure would accomplish a number of worthy goals. First, it would retain more divisions in the Total Force than can be contemposals likely to survive congressional

ideas like "Quicksilver," its plan for ards reducing the active Army and the Guard and Reserve proportionally over five years. The office of the secretary of Defense (OSD) has forced even greater cuts than the Army has proposed for the FY92 budget. But these leaders haven't received Brooklyn Park Serves Guard, Community the Defense Authorization for FY91, Congress specifically stated that Guard and Reserve personnel strengths and force structure would remain uncut until a new national defense strategy is in place and longer range force structure is defined.

rense spending that are discussed training strategy as a situation, then it only makes sense to a high-technology training center. retain force structure in the Guard and Reserve and reduce it in the active Army and Air Force. We know that it costs from one-fourth to one-third the amount to man and train a Guard un as contrasted with an active unit. With the reduction of forces in Europe, the issue of equippage becomes less in tense because first-line equipmen will—or should be—transferred to the Guard.

Finally, reverse roundout would ment the Total Force Policy. With verse roundout, the issue of cal the whole unit would be overcome the configuration of the force. The tive Army roundout element could function without the Guard ele Under that concept, the Total lives. Without it, questions about

MG Robert F. Ensslin Jr., President, NGAUS

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

DIAL

Volume XLV, Number 2



### COVER:

The new National Guard Memorial, standing eight stories with three levels of underground parking, opened its doors to the NGAUS staff January 2. An official dedication is being planned for May. Photo, Jean Marie Beall. Design, Johnson Design

## FEATURES

plated by most force-structure pro- DESERT SHIELD Retraining Not Needed LTG Herbert R. Temple Jr. (ret.), former chief of the National

Guard Bureau, speaks out about the readiness of Guard combat Pentagon leaders continue to push forces and emphasizes that Guard members have met the stand-



the message that Quicksilver was The Army National Guard armory at Brooklyn Park, Minnesota. dead on arrival in Congress last year. breaks the rule and the stereotype in that it is the first of its kind In report language associated with to be designed to serve both the Guard and the community.



Hi-Tech Training For The Future

If it is the budget and levels of De COL Jack L. Winkler (ret.), father of GUARDFIST, advocates a fense spending that are driving this device-based training strategy as a means of making every armory



Reeping Up At Adak

ers of the 223d Engineer Battalion, Mississippi Army Nahal Guard, took advantage of the Key Personnel Upgrade mam (KPUP) and trained at the Adak Naval Air Station in



<sup>eneral</sup> Sheridan Turns the Tables

end of the Civil War, the Confederates were calling him in the Inevitable." General Philip Sheridan helped break th siege of Petersburg and sent General Robert E. Lee's



## **DEPARTMENTS**

President's Message	2
<b>Washington Tie-Line</b>	4
Views From the Field	6
Return Fire	9
Capital Focus	10
Newsbreaks	12
Leading Edge	42
Enlisted People	44
Posting the Guard	46
Publisher's Notebook	50

#### **PUBLICATION STAFF**

MG Bruce Jacobs (ret.)

LTC Reid K. Beveridge Editor

CPT Pamela A. Kane Managing Editor (Deployed)

Mai Jean Marie Beall Assistant Editor/Features

Belinda Reilly Assistant Editor/Production COL John C. Philbrick (ret.)

Advertising Sales Col David A. McLaughlin (ret.) Controller

Don DesJardins Circulation Manager

NATIONAL GUARD, February 1991. The NATIONAL GUARD Magazine (ISSN 0163-3945) is published monthly, by the National Guard Association of the United States, with editorial and advertising offices at One Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Telephone (202) 789-0031. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices, Copyright 1990 by the National Guard Association of the U.S. All rights reserved. All members of the NGAUS receive NATIONAL GUARD; \$7 of membership dues are allocated to a magazine subscription. Nonmember subscriptions: \$10 per year. The Editor welcomes original articles bearing on national defense, with emphasis on application to or implications for the National Guard. Postmaster: Send Form 3579 to NATIONAL GUARD, One Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C.