

Major General Edward R. Fry, President, NGAUS

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

A NATIONAL FIXATION: IS BIGGER ALWAYS BETTER?

rom all appearances it seems that we in the Guard, along with other service personnel, will soon be saying "so long" to an old friend who has been in the inventory so long as to be almost a member of the family. The Pentagon announced not long ago it was seeking a 9mm replacement for the standard .45-caliber pistol which has been in the Army it?" since 1911.

The famed Colt .45 (actually the brainchild of John M. Browning) came into the Army because of the experiences of U.S. fighting men in the Philippines. It was observed, during the period when Army troops were engaged in putting down the Insurrection, that when the tough Moro tribesmen were hit by .38 caliber bullets they frequently kept right on fighting. What was needed was a handweapon that would put an adversary out of action the instant he was hit.

The Browning design was adopted by the Army, after intensive testing under all sorts of conditions, as the Army's official handgun in 1911. It was always said, axiomatically, that the average soldier with average training could hit what he shot at with a Colt .45. Improvements in the automatic feature by WWI brought it to the stage where a well-trained individual could snap off 21 rounds in 12 seconds. (In this operation, the recoil of each discharge would eject the empty shell and load in a fresh one.)

Nearly half a million of the Colt .45s had been produced by the time the U.S. entered WWI. Guardsmen carried the official Army handgun to the Mexican border in 1916 and to France in 1917 and 1918. It was primarily a weapon for officers and NCOs, particularly in units where it was likely that an enemy target would be engaged at the range of 25 yards or so. At that range, a shooter in a combat situation cannot afford anything but the best-and that is precisely what the Colt .45 has been for a full seven decades.

It is said that the Army is looking National Guard-must be equipped for a lighter weapon, and one that will use the same ammunition as the pre-standard, mission-capable weapons dominant weapon in the NATO inventory. Aside from the stirring question as to the role of a handgun in modern warfare, there seems to be in all this the nagging reminder of the old adage that -- "if it ain't broke, why fix the much-heralded "cascade" of to-

But the fact of the matter is that comes into the active inventory. there is a great national propensity for research and development (R&D). We are constantly drawn to new and exotic equipment and weapons concepts which might, in the words of James Fallows, author of National Defense, "give ten Americans the strength of ten thousand." This may indeed be a laudable goal. But it is nothing to cheer about when costs are driven so high that procurement is severely limited by the fear that something better will come along and make a given system obsolete in mid-stream.

There is a special problem for the National Guard in all this. Limited procurement goals—the hedge against new systems being overtaken by newer technology—is quickly translated into procurement for the active force only.

One military association publication recently noted that in a discussion of post-mobilization equipment redistribution management, an Army logistician stated: "the forecast for equipment fill of shortages (in the Guard and Reserve) is not good." Procurement objectives, it seems obvious, are not designed to buy equipment for Guard and Reserve on the same basis as active force requirements.

We are caught, it would appear, between the rock of the high cost of new equipment, and the hard place of galloping high technology.

Obviously, we aren't going to lay claim to any special "smarts" in these issues. We simply feel it is essential to go back to the basic notion that at any given moment the entire force-and this includes the

with an adequate supply of combat and vehicles. The nation, it seems to us, cannot afford to run the risks which are inherent in having so substantial a part of the wartime force as the Guard waiting around for day's equipment when tomorrow's

To look facts squarely in the eye, there will always be some part of the force waiting for "the newest and the latest" to be delivered. But everyone has to fight. And all the fighters need to have fighting equipment which can be used on the battlefield. This is a long-winded way of saying that we doubt there ever will be a time when everyone is equipped with exactly the latest equipment at the same time.

Even as the new M-1 tank begins to come off the production line, it is evident that the M-60, in some form, will be around for many years to come. Defense Week recently quoted the Army Chief of Staff on the importance and significance of the fact that foreign sales programs were keeping the M-60A3 production line open. He evidently regarded this as important to the readiness of the

On the Air side, there is talk from time to time of forming a strategic airlift flying unit through the acquisition of supertransports not now needed by big commercial carriers that have cutback flying operations. This is the sort of ingenuity which could give the RDF a major shot in the arm.

Throughout the system we need to identify items which, with product improvement, can be slated for expedited production so that troops can be equipped now and not at some vague time in the future.

Bluntly, we cannot wait for that day -which will probably never comewhen all of our forces, including the Guard and Reserve, will receive 100

(Continued on page 30)

1878



NATIONAL GUARD ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES

PRESIDENT

Maj Gen Edward R. Fry, Kansas

mmediate Past President

Maj Gen William J. McCaddin, Virginia

/ice President

Maj Gen William E. Ingram, North Carolina

Brig Gen Leo C. Goodrich, Minnesota

Maj Gen Francis J. Higgins, New York

Executive Vice President

Maj Gen Francis S. Greenlief (ret)

EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

Area I (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, RI, VT) Maj Gen John Blatsos (NH) Maj Gen Francis R. Gerard (NJ) Lt Col John L. Burbury (MA)

Area II (DE, DC, KY, MD, NC, OH, PA, VA. WV) Maj Gen Billy G. Wellman (KY) Mai Gen F.C. Booker (PA) Brig Gen W.W. Spruance (DE)

rea III (AL. FL. GA. PR. SC. TN. VI) Maj Gen Billy M. Jones (GA) Col James F. Gamble (AL) Brig Gen W.M. Whittaker (FL)

Area IV (AR. KS. LA. MS. MO. OK. TX) Maj Gen Willie L. Scott (TX) Col H. Dean Wilkerson (AR) Lt Col J. Tipton Lewis (MS)

rea V (IL. IN. IA. MI. MN. ND. NE. Maj Gen John R. Phipps (IL) Brig Gen William E. Doris (IL) Col A.P. Macdonald (ND)

rea VI (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WA, WY) Mai Gen C.F. Necrason (AK) Brig Gen Willard K. Carey (OR) Col Dale J. Hendry (ID)

Retired and Separated Officer Members Maj Gen Robert G. Moorhead (IN) ARNG Lt Col John F. Rauth (MO) ANG

Company Grade Officer Members: Capt Kenneth D. McRae (AL) ARNG Capt Donald N. Edmands Jr. (TN) ANG

ast Presidents:

Maj Gen James F. Cantwell (NJ) Maj Gen Henry W. McMillan (FL) Maj Gen Duane L. Corning (SD) Maj Gen Richard A. Miller (OR)

PUBLICATION STAFF

BRIG GEN BRUCE JACOBS PUBLISHER COL RAYMOND E. BELL, JR. EDITOR 1LT CAROL J. DULIN ASSISTANT EDITOR PAMELA A. KANE EDITORIAL ASSISTANT JOHN E. BIBB ADVERTISING DON DESJARDINS CIRCULATION

NATIONAL
GUARD

September 1981 Volume XXXV, Number 9









12

18

Features

8 EXPAND THE BASE

National Guard officers become professors of military science.

12 A NIGHT AT THE FIGHTS

A "knockout" combination of team spirit and joint component

14 MADELINE CROWL: RIFLE RANGE QUEEN

Air Guard shooter makes All-Guard High Power Rifle Squad.

18 SCRAMBLE IN FIVE

The 102d Fighter Interceptor Wing scrambles for the Soviet Bears.

20 MICHAEL BURLEY: THE GUARD'S OWN CHAMPION

Army Guardsman trains for the Modern Pentathlon

22 ANNUAL TRAINING 1981 Pictorial pass-in-review of the

National Guard in training.

26 BILOXI '81

103d NGAUS Conference plans are in the final stages.

Departments

President's Message Inside Front Cover From Washington Newsbreaks View From the Hill 31 Views From the Field People in the News 32 Posting the Guard Memo for the Record



COVER: Michael Burley, in practice for the Modern Pentathlon. takes his horse over a jump. Art by Tom Powers of Bill Duffy Associates.

NATIONAL GUARD, September 1981. The NATIONAL GUARD Magazine (ISSN 0163-3945) is published monthly, by the National Guard Association of the United States, with editorial and advertising offices at One Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Telephone (202) 789-0031. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices, Copyright 1981 by the National Guard Association of the U.S. All rights reserved. All members of the NGAUS receive NATIONAL GUARD. Nonmember subscriptions: \$4 per year domestic; \$5 per year foreign. Bulk rate for 100 or more copies of one issue to the same address: 25¢ each. Single copies 50¢. The Editor welcomes original articles bearing on national defense, with emphasis on application to or implications for the National Guard. Manuscripts and artwork must be accompanied by return postage; no responsibility is assumed for safe handling. Opinions expressed by authors do not necessarily represent official NGAUS positions or policy. Likewise, publication of advertising cannot be deemed an endorsement thereof by this Association or its members.

SEPTEMBER 1981