

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

THE SELECTIVE SERVICE DECISION

n August 1941, the military draft survived in Congress by just one vote— 203-202-in the House of Representatives. It was enacted for a one-year trial a year before the beginning of World War II in Europe, a war in which the United States was not yet involved.

This year the issue was and is draft registration, not actual conscription. Still, the image of the United States' national will to provide for a strong defense survived yet another test when the Supreme Court ruled in July that Congress indeed did have the power not only to require registration, already pretty much decided in another case, but also had the power to enforce that decision by denying federal aid to male college students who would not register.

The student-aid provision, called the Solomon Amendment after its author. Representative Gerald B. Solomon (R-New York), simply stated that college and university students had to certify they had registered with the Selective Service System before they could qualify to receive federal student aid or federally guaranteed student loans. A number of institutions of higher education objected to the provision, asserting they were being asked to enforce a law (draft registration) they didn't support. Several students objected and filed suit, charging they were being unconstitutionally punished and being forced to admit guilt to a felony (failure to register) in violation of the Fifth Amendment's guarantee against self-incrimination. It is these two latter contentions that the Supreme Court rejected in a 6-2 vote.

What is especially gratifying in this decision is that the Supreme Court agreed with the intent and what has turned out to be the effect of the Solomon Amendment. That is to encourage young men to register and deemphasize the threat of felony prosecutions (a \$10,000 fine and/or five years in the goal articulated by Turnage: gain prison). Both penalties are needed, but the denial of federal benefits seems to us to be not only the most effective punishment, but compliance.

but also the most appropriate enforce-

As Major General Thomas Turnage (ret.), director of Selective Service, has put it so many times, the idea is not to put young men in prison for failure to register; the goal is to get them to register. If they do that, whether or not student aid is involved, the mission has been accomplished.

It was interesting in this regard that U.S. Solicitor General Rex E. Lee, in arguing this case before the Supreme Court earlier this year, noted that when the Solomon Amendment was adopted two years ago, compliance with the registration law was about 94 percent; today it is 97 percent and rising.

Chief Justice Warren E. Burger picked up on the compliance argument with this statement in his opinion for the court. He said the amendment was not a punitive measure, but rather "clearly furthers non-punitive legislative goals." He said Congress passed the Solomon Amendment "not to punish anyone, but to promote compliance with the draft registration and fairness in the allocation of scarce federal resources."

Another factor involved with the Solomon Amendment we like to emphasize is that it underscores Americans' duty to their country. It has been said that if a young man is unwilling to register for the draft, he surely has no legitimate entitlement to the benefits dispensed by the federal government, such as federal student aid. Several members of Congress have proposed to carry the theory behind the Solomon Amendment a step further by adopting legislation that would prohibit non-registrants from being hired for federal Civil Service jobs. Other amendments would bar welfare aid to non-registrants or perhaps federal aid of any kind.

These are not bad ideas if they fit 100 percent compliance with the registration law. The goal is not

hile we're on the subject of registration, a related topic is worth discussion: the proposal now before Congress to permit a designation of conscientious objector status on the draft registration form. Superficially, this may seem to have merit, but it really is a bad idea.

Advocates of this proposal admit it will have little legal effect, since conscientious objector status will only be determined if or when actual conscription is undertaken, not at the time of registration. However, they argue that such a checkoff is harmless and a way to encourage registration.

We would argue that such a procedure is both deceiving to young men registering and a potential area of dishonest actions. Conscientious objector status is not a matter to be lightly sought, nor easily granted.

It is interesting, and therefore convincing in this regard, that the push for the objector checkoff comes not from traditional religious conscientious objector groups, such as Quakers or Mennonites, but rather from political organizations who believe they are making a statement about U.S. foreign policy by objecting to registration. It also is ironic that most Mennonite young men, to cite only one example. have registered, understanding fully that they will apply for conscientious objector status when or if conscription resumes and local draft boards go into action to make such determinations.

It is such men who have in the past and presumably will in the future be approved as conscientious objectors. We must not, by casually endorsing any idea of a checkoff at registration time, encourage the idea that there is a valid political reason for non-registration or gaining objector status. The fact that an individual opposes this or that administration's foreign policy, which requires an armed force for national defense, can never be permitted to be used as an excuse for avoiding proper military service.

1878



1984

NATIONAL GUARD ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES

PRESIDENT

Maj Gen William E. Ingram (ret.), North Carolina

Immediate Past President Maj Gen Edward R. Fry (ret.), Kansas

Vice President

Maj Gen Raymond A. Matera, Wisconsin

Secretary

Maj Gen Charles M. Kiefner, Missouri

Treasurer

Maj Gen James S. Brooks, Idaho

EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

Area I (CT. ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, RI, VT) Maj Gen Francis R. Gerard (NJ) Lt Col John L. Burbury (MA) Brig Gen John J. Zito (NH)

Area II (DE, DC, KY, MD, NC, OH, PA VA. WV) Maj Gen Calvin G. Franklin (DC) Col James F. Danter (WV) Brig Gen W.W. Spruance (DE)

Area III (AL, FL, GA, PR, SC, TN, VI) Maj Gen Robert F. Ensslin, Jr. (FL) Col Leewell E. Fairey, Jr. (SC) Col Tom H. Proctor, Jr. (TN)

Area IV (AR, KS, LA, MS, MO, OK, TX) Maj Gen Willie L. Scott (TX) Col Tommy G. Alsip (OK) Brig Gen James J. Hourin (LA)

Area V (IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, ND, NE, SD,

Maj Gen Roger W. Gilbert (IA) Brig Gen Jay M. Lotz (IN) Mai Gen A.P. Macdonald (ND)

Area VI (AK, AZ, CA, CO, GU, HI, ID. MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WA, WY) Mai Gen John L. France (CO) Brig Gen Willard K. Carey (OR) Brig Gen E.J. Whalen (MT)

Retired and Separated Officer Members Maj Gen Robert G. Moorhead (IN) ARNG Brig Gen Leo C. Goodrich (MN) ANG

Company Grade Officer Members Cpt Kenneth D. McRae (AL) ARNG Cpt Janet A. Drew (TN) ANG

Past Presidents

Maj Gen Henry W. McMillan (FL) Maj Gen Duane L. Corning (SD) Maj Gen Richard A. Miller (OR) Maj Gen William J. McCaddin (VA)

HEADQUARTERS STAFF

Executive Director

Lt Gen LaVern E. Weber (ret.), OK

Staff Director

Maj Gen Bruce Jacobs, VA

PUBLICATION STAFF

MAJ GEN BRUCE JACOBS PUBLISHER MAJ REID K. BEVERIDGE EDITOR PAMELA A. KANE ASSISTANT EDITOR CPT JEAN MARIE BRAWDERS SENIOR WRITER JOHN E. BIBB ADVERTISING DON DESJARDINS CIRCULATION

NATIONAL GUARD

Official publication of the National Guard Association of the United States

September 1984 Volume XXXVIII, Number 9







20



12

16

12 D-DAY REVISITED

Features

A delegation of National Guard Association of the United States and National Guard Bureau officials retraced the steps of the 29th Infantry Division marking the 40th anniversary of D-Day on Omaha Beach

16 JUNIOR MARKSMEN

The National Guard Bureau's Unit Marksmanship Support Center sponsors programs for youths to encourage them to learn gun handling and safety as well as spreading the word about the

20 OPERATIONS IN KOREA

The Army and Air National Guard were players in this year's annual TEAM SPIRIT '84, the annual command post exercise in South Korea sponsored by the United Nations Command and the Combined Field Force (Army), U.S. and

27

27 THE FIRST PATTON

Most people are aware of George S. Patton, Jr., the famous WWII combat leader. This, however, is the story of the first of a famous line of warriors, George Smith Patton I, a regimental commander in Lee's Army of Northern

Departments

President's Message Inside Front Cover Washington Tie-Line Views from the Field View from the Hill Newsbreaks **Guard Stars** 10 People 31 Posting Publisher's Notebook



COVER: TEAM SPIRIT. like its European cousin REFORGER, is a large allied exercise conducted in South Korea and designed to sharpen soldier skills Photo Staff Sergeant Kermit Edmonds, 103d Public Affairs Detachment Montana Army Guard. Design, Johnson Design

NATIONAL GUARD, September 1984. The NATIONAL GUARD magazine (ISSN 0163-3945) is published monthly, by the National Guard Association of the United States, with editorial and advertising offices at One Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Telephone (202) 789-0031. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices, Copyright 1984 by the National Guard Association of the U.S. All rights reserved. All members of the NGAUS receive NATIONAL GUARD. Nonmember subscriptions: \$4 per year domestic; \$5 per year foreign. Bulk rate for 100 or more copies of one issue to the same address: 25¢ each. Single copies 50¢. The Editor welcomes original articles bearing on national defense, with emphasis on application to or implications for the National Guard. Manuscripts and artwork must be accompanied by return postage; no responsibility is assumed for safe handling. Opinions expressed by authors do not necessarily represent official NGAUS positions or policy. Likewise, publication of advertising cannot be deemed an endorsement thereof by this Association or its members. Postmaster: Send Form 3579 to NATIONAL GUARD, One Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001.