PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

Fiscal Reality Limits Scope of the New Bonus Package — But It's a Start

ertain National Guard and Reserve units may now offer membership incentives to help boost ailing recruiting and retention programs—cash bonuses or educational assistance for first-time enlistments, and cash bonuses for reenlistments.

Incentives were a long time coming. Guard and Reserve leaders have said from the beginning of the all-volunteer debate that monetary inducements were required. The same draft that kept the Active forces filled for more than 30 years also induced large numbers to enlist in the Guard or Reserves. When the draft ended, in 1972, the Active forces couldn't attract enough volunteers without offering some worthwhile cash incentives. Why then should the National Guard and/or Reserves be expected to perform that modern-day miracle?

It simply was not to be, especially with the shadow of Vietnam helping shape public attitudes. It needed the passage of seven years and sharply sagging Reserve Component strengths, however, to demonstrate that fact to a skeptical Department of Defense and a cost-conscious Congress.

Not that the incentives finally authorized are equal to those provided for the Active forces. They're not, by a very long ways. Far less do they satisfy the real need. The Selected Reserves are currently short of stated requirements by something like 130,000 depending on where you obtain the figure on requirements. Therefore, the problem we face is not just maintaining strength at the prescribed level, but in halting the steady stream of losses, then regaining the required manpower level.

What the Guard and Reserves finally were given was a half-loaf. It was a substantial achievement to get anything at all, however, in view of Congressional and public attitudes. And this most certainly is a case where, a half-loaf is better than none. It will help those relatively few units permitted to use it. For the rest, it has a symbolic value because it offers hope for the future.



Major General
William J. McCaddin
Virginia National Guard
President, National Guard
Association of the United States

It's worthwhile to briefly review how we came to the present position. After extensive effort by this and allied associations, aided by Guard and Reserve leaders from all parts of the country-and aided, most importantly, by a few discerning members of Congress-authority for reenlistment bonus and educational assistance (to encourage initial enlistments) was enacted in 1977. Congress decreed, however, that only \$5 million be appropriated for the program, that the funds be applied only to the bonuses (none to educational assistance), and that the program be considered a test.

In 1978, after an additional outpouring of effort by advocates like this Association, Congress extended the incentive authority, added enlistment bonuses to the other two, and boosted the appropriation substantially, to slightly more than \$25 million. Of that total, \$10.7 million has been earmarked for the Army Guard and \$7.8 million for the smaller Army Reserve unit structure in the largest allocations. The Air National Guard is to receive \$1.6 million.

Thus, it was obvious right from the outset that there wouldn't be nearly enough money to cover all needs of all

units. The incentives would have to be paid on a selective basis. That fact was recognized by spokesmen for this Association, who acquiesced because it was made plain that it would be selective incentives—or none at all!

The Army, not surprisingly, decided to use a unit priority system for determining who'd receive incentive funds. As explained in an unclassified document sent by the National Guard Bureau to all State Adjutants General, "It is anticipated that Congress will allocate insufficient funds in any given year to pay bonuses to all eligible people in all units. As a result, a unit priority scheme based on mobilization priorities and other factors was approved by the Secretary of Army."

No futher explanation was given because to do so would have compromised classified information on military deployment plans.

Although the Guard recognized the inevitability of a selective means of allocating incentive funds, what it couldn't foresee was just how small a percentage of the Guard would qualify when the criteria were finally determined. We don't know just what proportion of the Army Guard qualify, but we suspect that it is a very small percentage of the total ARNG.

Nevertheless, the incentives represent a positive step in an area where we hitherto have seen mostly inaction. The program, limited though it is, deserves the support of Guard and Reserve commanders, whether or not their units will benefit directly. Only if we demonstrate that such incentives are cost-effective and productive can we hope that authority will be granted to expand them in the future.

For regardless of glib repetitions of the current Washington catch-phrase, that "you can't solve all your problems by throwing more money at them," we think the time has come to see just what difference the dollars might make. How can the purveyors of that over-used slogan be so sure? In this particular problem area, the Guard and Reserves have never been given the funding to put it to the test!

1878



1979

NATIONAL GUARD ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES

PRESIDENT

Maj Gen William J. McCaddin, Virginia

Immediate Past President

Maj Gen Richard A. Miller, Oregon

Vice President

Maj Gen Edward R. Fry, Kansas

Secretary

Brig Gen Leo C. Goodrich, Minnesota

Treasurer

Maj Gen Francis J. Higgins, New York

Executive Vice President
Maj Gen Francis S. Greenlief (ret)

Executive Council

Area I (CT, ME, MA, NH, NY, RI, VT) Maj Gen Paul R. Day (ME) Maj Gen Nicholas J. Del Torto (MA) Col Donald E. Joy Jr. (CT)

Area II (DE, DC, KY, MD, NC, OH, PA, VA, WV) Maj Gen William E. Ingram (NC) Maj Gen Fletcher C. Booker (PA) Brig Gen William W. Spruance (DE)

Area III (AL, FL, GA, PR, SC, TN, VI) Maj Gen Billy M. Jones (GA) Brig Gen Frank M. Parham Jr. (FL) Brig Gen Grady L. Patterson Jr. (SC)

Area IV (AR, KS, LA, MS, MO, OK, TX) Maj Gen O'Neil J. Daigle Jr. (LA) Lt Col J. Tipton Lewis (MS) Col Joe A. Caple (AR)

Area V (IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, ND, NE, SD, WI) Brig Gen Hugh M. Simonson (WI) Col William E. Doris (IL) Col Alexander P. MacDonald (ND)

Area VI (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WA, WY)
Maj Gen Floyd L. Edsall (NV)

Maj Gen Floyd L. Edsall (NV) Maj Gen Thomas K. Turnage (CA) Col Jack R. Brasher (AZ)

THE NATIONAL GUARD MAGAZINE is published monthly, by the National Guard Association of the United States, with editorial and advertising offices at One Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Telephone (202) 789-0031. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices, Copyright 1979 by the National Guard Association of the U.S. All rights reserved. All members of the NGAUS receive NATIONAL GUARD. Nonmember subscriptions: \$3 per year domestic; \$4 per year foreign. Bulk rate for 100 or more copies to the same address: \$2.50 each. Single copies 50¢. The Editor welcomes original articles bearing on national defense, with emphasis on application to or implications for the National Guard. Manuscripts and artwork must be accompanied by return postage; no responsibility is assumed for safe handling. Opinions expressed by authors do not necessarily represent official NGAUS positions or policy. Likewise, publication of advertising cannot be deemed an endorsement thereof by this Association or its members.

NATIONAL GUARD

Official publication of the National Guard Association of the United States
(Formerly THE NATIONAL GUARDSMAN Magazine)

JANUARY 1979

VOLUME XXXIII, NUMBER 1

Features

IRL	IFT TO GUYANA	
	Missouri and Oklahoma airlifters found themselves involved	
	in bizarre aftermath of events at Jonestown.	

O. THE ICCIDES

The mood of the nation, the role of the 96th Congress will influence the decisions pending on many Guard and Reserve

INCENTIVES. NATIONAL GUARD TO SHARE IN \$25 MILLION
Although program is limited, Guard will have an opportunity

CHOPPER PILOT IN RUSSIA

An Army Guard aviator takes leave to compete in Third

Annual World Helicopter Championships in USSR.

CONSIDER—ACCOUNTABILITY 1

A senior Guard commander thinks the time has come to make subordinates responsible for getting results.

SOMEWHERE OVER SIOUX CITY

When a thirsty jet fighter needs a friend, the loveliest sight in the world is the Stratotanker.

MICRO BATTLE

Recollections of a classic small-unit action in France. A

to show whether cash incentives work.

former company commander looks back to WWII.

A NATIONAL GUARD PRESENCE IN WASHINGTON

The creation of "a National Guard community" in the nation's capitol is one of major achievements of NGAUS.

KEYSTONE DIVISION PLANS A CENTENNIAL

Soon to celebrate 100 years as a division, the 28th of Pennsylvania plans a big weekend in May

Departments

President's Message View From the Hill Views from the Field National Newsmakers Posting the Guard People in the News Editorially Speaking Inside Front Cover 20 20 26 30



COVER: Symbolic of "the Issues" which Capitol Hill finds awaiting it in 1979, cover design by Bill Duffy. PUBLICATION STAFF

COLONEL BRUCE JACOBS EDITOR AND PUBLISHER

> CAPTAIN STANLEY J. SKINNER ASSOCIATE EDITOR

> > JOHN E. BIBB ADVERTISING

DON DESJARDINS CIRCULATION